| 1 | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 6 | AT TACOMA | |
| 7 | RICHARD ROY SCOTT, | |
| 8 | Plaintiff, | NO. C11-5509 BHS/KLS |
| 9 | V. | ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE |
| 10 | KELLY CUNNINGHAM, | CONSOLIDITIE |
| 11 | Defendant. | |
| 12 | Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to consolidate. ECF No. 129. Mr. Scott requests | |
| 13 | the Court to consolidate his latest lawsuit, Case No. C11-6015 BHS/KLS, with this case. | |
| 14 | However, this Court has declined to serve the complaint in case No. C11-6015 BHS/KLS | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | because it is deficient. ECF No. 6 therein. Mr. Scott has been ordered to show cause why his | |
| 17 | case should not be dismissed or to file an amended complaint curing, if possible, the | |
| 18 | deficiencies noted in the Court's order. <i>Id.</i> In the meantime, Plaintiff has filed a motion | |
| 19 | requesting that the undersigned be recused. ECF No. 8 therein. Therefore, any consideration | |
| 20 | of consolidating these cases is premature. | |
| 21 | // | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | // | |
| 24 | // | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| | | |

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion to consolidate (ECF No. 129) is **DENIED** and the Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to counsel for Defendants. **DATED** this <u>16th</u> day of February, 2012. Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge